

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,479	11/01/2000	Alfred Busch	CM1758M/VB	1247	
27752	27752 7590 11/19/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	CTER & GAMBLE CON	KUMAR,	KUMAR, PREETI		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE				
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		09/674,479	BUSCH ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Preeti Kumar	1751			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shelf twith the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	entember 2003				
•		action is non-final.				
- '-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 22-35 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,22-35 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 3 and 18-20 are cancelled. Claim 1 is amended
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 22-35 are pending.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1, 2 and 22-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler et al. (US 6,268,196) in view of Schulein et al. (US 6,117,664) is maintained for the reasons of record and further explained below.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed September 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's strongly urge that Fowler et al. in view of Schulein et al. neither teach nor suggest a modified enzyme comprising a catalytically active amino acid sequence with a highly specific linking region selected from the group set forth in the newly amended claim 1. See paper no. 14, Applicant's Remarks page 3, dated 9/3/03. However, the Examiner draws attention to the material limitations of the newly amended claim 1, which include a linking region selected from a plethora of linkers, specifically including CenA cellulase linker. Please see col.3, In 48-53 where Fowler et al. provide motivation to incorporate a CenA linker since the catalytic domain of C. fimi CenA isolated from protease cleaved cellulase does not disrupt the fibril structure of cellulose and instead smooths the surface of the fiber. Thus, one skilled in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to utilize a CenA glycosylated on proline and threonine rich linkers since Fowler et al. teach the use of cellulolytic EGI

Art Unit: 1751

enzymes in which the cellulose binding domains are linked by a peptide rich in Ser, Thr, Pro in a method of treating a fabric to confer desirable qualities to cellulose containing fabrics.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 703-305-0178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-9309.

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700